



International Journal of Contemporary Research In Multidisciplinary

Research Article

Doctrine of Signatures in Homoeopathic Materia Medica: A Review

Dr. Rajkumar Sharma¹, Dr. Lakhan Singh Kotiya^{2*}, Dr. Hemlata Thakre³

¹ MD (Hom.) Professor & HOD, Department of Homoeopathic Materia Medica, Ram Krishna College of Homoeopathy & Medical Sciences, RKDF, University Campus, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

² MD (Hom.) Assistant Professor, Department of Homoeopathic Materia Medica, Ram Krishna College of Homoeopathy & Medical Sciences, RKDF, University Campus, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

³ (PG Scholar), Department of Homoeopathic Materia Medica, Ram Krishna College of Homoeopathy & Medical Sciences, RKDF, University Campus, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: * Dr. Lakhan Singh Kotiya

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21736155>

Abstract

The Doctrine of Signatures is an ancient philosophical concept proposing that the external appearance, colour, shape, habitat, or other natural characteristics of plants, minerals, and animals indicate their potential therapeutic uses. Although the doctrine predates homoeopathy by several centuries, it has influenced the selection and understanding of medicinal substances in many traditional systems of medicine. In homoeopathy, remedies are selected according to the Law of Similars and are primarily based on drug proving, toxicological data, and clinical verification rather than the Doctrine of Signatures. Nevertheless, the doctrine has often served as a supplementary tool for understanding the nature and sphere of action of certain remedies in the Homoeopathic Materia Medica. This review explores the historical evolution, philosophical basis, application in homoeopathic materia medica, examples of important remedies, scientific perspectives, limitations, and contemporary relevance of the Doctrine of Signatures.

Manuscript Information

- ISSN No: 2583-7397
- Received: 11-06-2026
- Accepted: 28-07-2026
- Published: 01-08-2026
- IJCRM:5(4); 2026: 551-554
- ©2026, All Rights Reserved
- Plagiarism Checked: Yes
- Peer Review Process: Yes

How to Cite this Article

Sharma R, Kotiya L S, Thakre H. Doctrine of Signatures in Homoeopathic Materia Medica: A Review. Int J Contemp Res Multidiscip. 2026;5(4):551-554.

Access this Article Online



www.multiarticlesjournal.com

KEYWORDS: Doctrine of Signatures, Homoeopathy, Materia Medica, Drug Proving, Similia Similibus Curentur, Medicinal Plants.

1. INTRODUCTION

Homoeopathy is a scientific therapeutic system founded by **Dr Samuel Hahnemann**, based on the principle *Similia Similibus Curentur* ("Let likes be cured by likes") [1]. Homoeopathic medicines derive their therapeutic value from pathogenetic drug provings conducted on healthy individuals and subsequent clinical verification [1,2]. Long before Hahnemann, physicians attempted to understand medicinal plants by observing their natural characteristics. One of the most influential concepts was the **Doctrine of Signatures**, which proposed that God or Nature had placed "signatures" on medicinal substances to indicate their healing properties [3]. Although Hahnemann rejected speculative methods unsupported by experimentation, several homoeopathic authors have noted interesting correlations between the appearance of certain remedies and their clinical applications [4]. Therefore, the Doctrine of Signatures occupies a historical and educational role rather than serving as a method of remedy selection in classical homoeopathy.

Background of History of Doctrine of Signatures: The Doctrine of Signatures originated in ancient civilisations including Egypt, Greece, India, and China [5]. Philosophers believed that natural objects possessed symbolic characteristics reflecting their medicinal actions. The Greek physician Dioscorides described medicinal plants by their physical characteristics in *De Materia Medica* [6]. Later, the Swiss physician **Paracelsus (1493–1541)** formally developed the Doctrine of Signatures, proposing that the Creator marked every medicinal substance with visible signs indicating its therapeutic use [7]. These observations guided herbal prescribing for centuries before scientific pharmacology emerged. Examples included:

- Walnuts resembling the human brain.
- Kidney bean resembling the kidney.
- Liverwort resembling the liver.
- Eyebright resembles the human eye.

Doctrine of Signatures and Homoeopathy: Unlike herbal medicine, homoeopathy does not prescribe remedies because of their external appearance. Hahnemann clearly stated that the medicinal powers of drugs can only be known through provings on healthy individuals and confirmed clinical observations [1]. Nevertheless, several homoeopathic scholars—including Clarke, Tyler, Vithoulkas, and Vermeulen—have discussed the Doctrine of Signatures as an interesting aid for understanding remedy characteristics, provided it does not replace proving evidence [4,8,9]. It is not considered evidence for prescribing. Thus, in homoeopathy the doctrine is regarded as:

- A historical concept.
- An educational aid.
- A mnemonic device.
- A supplementary interpretative tool.

Philosophical Basis of the Doctrine of Signatures: While these assumptions influenced early medicine, homoeopathy relies on experimentally verified symptom similarity rather than symbolic resemblance [1]. The doctrine assumes that:

- Nature reveals the medicinal purpose of substances through visible signs.
- External form reflects internal function.
- Similarity between a plant and an organ suggests therapeutic affinity.
- Colour, habitat, growth pattern, and morphology possess symbolic significance [5].

Doctrine of Signatures Based on Morphology

1. Shape: Certain plants resemble human organs.

- **Juglans regia (Walnut)**
- The kernel resembles the cerebral hemisphere.
- Traditional belief associated walnuts with brain function and memory [7].
- In homoeopathy, **Juglans regia** has been used in skin disorders and glandular conditions rather than neurological diseases alone, illustrating that proving data determines its therapeutic scope [10].
- **Phaseolus vulgaris (Kidney Bean)**
- The bean resembles the human kidney.
- Traditional herbal medicine is considered useful for urinary disorders [5].
- Homoeopathic prescribing, however, depends upon proving symptoms rather than morphology.

2. Colour: Color has frequently been interpreted symbolically.

- **Chelidonium majus**
- The plant exudes bright yellow latex.
- Traditional physicians associated this with jaundice and liver disease [6].
- Homoeopathic provings confirmed a strong affinity for hepatobiliary disorders, particularly right-sided liver complaints and jaundice [11].
- **Sanguinaria canadensis**
- The reddish-orange sap suggested an affinity for blood disorders.
- Provings later demonstrated significant action on circulation, headaches, and menopausal symptoms [12].

3. Signatures Based on Habitat: Plants growing under particular environmental conditions were believed to influence similar conditions in humans.

- **Ledum palustre**
- Growing in cold marshes, *Ledum* has traditionally been associated with puncture wounds, insect bites, and rheumatic complaints aggravated by warmth.
- Homoeopathic provings confirmed these characteristic modalities [13].
- **Cactus grandiflorus**
- The constricting growth habit of the cactus has been compared with the sensation of constriction experienced in cardiac disorders.

- Materia Medica describes marked constrictive sensations around the heart and chest [10].

4. Signatures Based on Growth Pattern

• **Lachesis mutus**

- Derived from the Bushmaster snake, Lachesis exhibits characteristics often symbolically associated with the serpent:
 - Jealousy.
 - Loquacity.
 - Suspicion.
 - Intensity.
 - Left-sided symptoms.
 - Intolerance of constriction around the neck [4].

- Although these observations appear consistent with symbolic interpretation, the remedy's indications are established through provings and extensive clinical verification.

• **Tarentula hispanica**

- Prepared from the Spanish spider, Tarentula demonstrates:
 - Restlessness.
 - Constant motion.
 - Impulsiveness.
 - Hyperactivity.
- These characteristics resemble the rapid movements of the spider and are well documented in the Materia Medica [12].

Examples of Doctrine of Signatures in Homoeopathic Materia Medica

Remedy	Signature	Homoeopathic Affinity
Chelidonium majus	Yellow sap	Liver and gallbladder disorders [11]
Cactus grandiflorus	Constricting stem	Cardiac constriction [10]
Pulsatilla nigricans	Wind flower bending with breeze	Mild, yielding personality [4]
Nux vomica	Bitter poisonous seed	Digestive disturbances and irritability [12]
Digitalis purpurea	Finger-like flowers	Cardiac action confirmed by proving [14]
Hypericum perforatum	Perforated leaves	Nerve injuries [10]
Arnica montana	Mountain plant resisting harsh climate	Trauma and tissue injury [4]
Belladonna	Attractive berries yet poisonous	Acute inflammatory conditions [10]
Aconitum napellus	Rapid poisonous action	Sudden acute illnesses with fear [4]
Thuja occidentalis	Evergreen with abnormal growth	Warts and sycotic manifestations [15]

Educational Importance in Homoeopathy: Many teachers employ signatures as mnemonic devices while emphasising that final prescriptions must rely upon the totality of symptoms [8]. Despite its limitations, the Doctrine of Signatures remains useful for:

- Understanding remedy origins.
- Remembering remedy characteristics.
- Teaching Materia Medica.
- Appreciating the historical evolution of medicine.
- Stimulating comparative study of remedies.

Limitations: Consequently, reliance on signatures alone may result in inaccurate prescribing. The Doctrine of Signatures has several limitations:

- Lack of scientific validation.
- Symbolic rather than experimental basis.
- Potential for misleading therapeutic assumptions.
- External resemblance does not necessarily indicate pharmacological activity.
- Cannot substitute for homoeopathic provings or clinical verification [16].

Future Perspectives

- Future interdisciplinary research may explore whether certain morphological, phytochemical, or ecological characteristics correlate with biological activity. However, any such relationship should be validated through rigorous scientific methods rather than symbolic interpretation.
- Within homoeopathy, the Doctrine of Signatures is likely to remain an educational concept that enriches the

understanding of remedy origins while preserving the primacy of Hahnemannian proving methodology.

CONCLUSION

The Doctrine of Signatures represents one of the oldest philosophical approaches to understanding medicinal substances. Although it significantly influenced herbal medicine and early medical thought, its role in homoeopathy is limited. Homoeopathic prescribing is founded upon the experimentally verified Law of Similars, drug provings, toxicological evidence, and clinical confirmation. Nevertheless, the Doctrine of Signatures continues to provide historical insight and educational value by illustrating interesting relationships between the natural characteristics of medicinal substances and their spheres of action. Used judiciously, it may serve as a useful mnemonic aid, but it should never replace scientific evidence or the principles established by Samuel Hahnemann.

Conflict of interest - None.

Sources of funding - None.

REFERENCES

1. Hahnemann S. Organon of Medicine. 6th ed. New Delhi: B. Jain Publishers; 2013.
2. Hahnemann S. Materia Medica Pura. New Delhi: B. Jain Publishers; 2002.
3. Haehl R. Samuel Hahnemann: His Life and Work. New Delhi: B. Jain Publishers; 1999.

4. Kent JT. Lectures on Homoeopathic Materia Medica. New Delhi: B. Jain Publishers; 2016.
5. Bennett BC. Doctrine of signatures: an explanation of medicinal plant discovery or dissemination of knowledge? Econ Bot. 2007;61(3):246-255.
6. Dioscorides P. De Materia Medica. Translated ed. Hildesheim: Olms-Weidmann; 2000.
7. Paracelsus. Selected Writings. Princeton (NJ): Princeton University Press; 1979.
8. Clarke JH. A Dictionary of Practical Materia Medica. Vol. 1-3. New Delhi: B. Jain Publishers; 2011.
9. Vermeulen F. Concordant Materia Medica. Haarlem: Emrys Publishers; 2011.
10. Boericke W. Pocket Manual of Homoeopathic Materia Medica. New Delhi: B. Jain Publishers; 2015.
11. Allen HC. Keynotes and Characteristics with Comparisons. New Delhi: B. Jain Publishers; 2014.
12. Murphy R. Lotus Materia Medica. New Delhi: B. Jain Publishers; 2010.
13. Nash EB. Leaders in Homoeopathic Therapeutics. New Delhi: B. Jain Publishers; 2014.
14. Farrington EA. Clinical Materia Medica. New Delhi: B. Jain Publishers; 2012.
15. Tyler ML. Homoeopathic Drug Pictures. New Delhi: B. Jain Publishers; 2013.
16. Ernst E. The role of the doctrine of signatures in modern medicine. J R Soc Med. 2002;95(7):373-376.

Creative Commons (CC) License

This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution–Non-Commercial–No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license. This license permits sharing and redistribution of the article in any medium or format for non-commercial purposes only, provided that appropriate credit is given to the original author(s) and source. No modifications, adaptations, or derivative works are permitted under this license.

About the Corresponding Author



Dr. Lakhan Singh Kotiya is an MD (Hom.) and serves as Assistant Professor & Head, Department of Homoeopathic Materia Medica at Ram Krishna College of Homoeopathy & Medical Sciences, RKDF University Campus, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India. He is actively involved in homoeopathic education, academic research, and clinical practice, with a special interest in Homoeopathic Materia Medica and professional development.