IJCRM
International Journal of Contemporary Research In Multidisciplinary

Research Article

A Study of Artificial Intelligence in Gravitational Wave Analysis

Deepak Kumar Nalwaya [1*], Prof. Manju Mandot [2]

[1] Research Scholar, Janardan Rai Nagar Rajasthan Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University), Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

[2] Director, DCS & IT, Janardan Rai Nagar Rajasthan Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University), Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

Corresponding Author: *Deepak Kumar Nalwaya

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15336581

Abstract

Gravitational wave research encompasses a variety of complex challenges that have significantly propelled advancements in the field of astrophysics and signal processing. Major research hurdles include the classification and cancellation of instrumental glitches, the denoising of gravitational wave signals, the detection of binary black hole mergers, the identification of gravitational wave bursts, and numerous secondary issues that collectively enhance our understanding of these cosmic phenomena. This paper investigates the growing application of artificial intelligence (AI), deep learning, and machine learning (ML) methodologies in addressing these critical problems. The main goal is to provide a comprehensive summary of how contemporary AI techniques and deep learning techniques assist in the analysis of gravitational waves. With the evolution of computational power, especially through the use of high-performance GPUs and specialized software frameworks, AI-driven techniques have become instrumental over the past decade in the detection, classification, and mitigation of noise within gravitational wave data. This work offers a comprehensive evaluation of the adoption trends of these advanced methods, including an analysis of the computational tools employed, their performance capabilities, and inherent limitations. Additionally, it highlights the transformative role of AI in enhancing data analysis efficiency and accuracy in the realm of gravitational wave astronomy.

Manuscript Information

- **ISSN No:** 2583-7397
- **Received:** 29-03-2025
- **Accepted:** 21-04-2025
- **Published:** 03-05-2025
- **IJCRM:**4(3); 2025: 01-10

- **Plagiarism Checked:** Yes
- **Peer Review Process:** Yes

How to Cite this Article

Nalwaya DK, Mandot M. A Study of Artificial Intelligence in Gravitational Wave Analysis. Int J Contemp Res Multidiscip. 2025;4(3):01-10.

Access this Article Online

www.multiarticlesjournal.com

KEYWORDS: Gravitational Waves; Machine Learning, Deep Learning, Artificial Intelligence, Signal Processing, GPU Computing, Astrophysical Data Analysis.

INTRODUCTION

According to Einstein's "theory of general relativity, "The Gravitational waves that are ripples in the fabric of spacetime, have created a revolutionary perspective of the cosmos. Since their first direct detection by the LIGO and Virgo collaborations in 2015, gravitational wave astronomy has rapidly advanced, providing unique insights into cataclysmic astrophysical events such as binary black hole and neutron star mergers. However, extracting meaningful signals from the raw data remains an intricate task due to the presence of noise, instrumental artifacts, and the need for real-time analysis.

Gravitational waves have introduced numerous fascinating challenges, which have led to notable advancements in the field. Some of the most important topics in research include the classification of lights, avoiding glitches, denoising gravitational waves (including black hole noise), detection of binary signals associated with black holes, identification of gravitating wave bursts and other minor questions that help understand gravitate wave events. In gravitational wave astronomy, a "glitch" refers to a sudden, transient disturbance observed in data from pulsars or neutron stars. Pulsars are rapidly rotating neutron stars emitting periodic electromagnetic pulses, which are crucial for investigating various astrophysical phenomena, including gravitational waves [1]. However, the stability of pulsar signals can sometimes be disrupted by these glitches, which are sudden accelerations in a pulsar's rotation rate, causing a temporary shift in its observed spin frequency [2]. These anomalies arise from complex interactions within the pulsar, such as the exchange of angular momentum between its superfluid interior and solid crust [3]. The impact of glitches is significant in gravitational wave inquiry, especially in relation to continuous gravitational wave sources. These sources, originating from persistent gravitational wave emissions, are often linked to neutron star deformations or instabilities [4]. Monitoring pulsar rotations and detecting glitches offers valuable insights into the internal dynamics and the neutron star properties (Mass, Density, Size, Composition, Magnetic Field) [5]. However, glitches complicate gravitational wave searches by altering a pulsar's spin frequency, which affects the accuracy of its timing predictions. To make it easier to different between a gravitational signal wave and a glitch during data analysis [6]. The vital role of glitch classification in the research of gravitational wave. It involves the detection and classification unforeseen noises or anomalies in data gathered through gravitational wave finders [7]. Accurate glitch classification is essential to distinguish between real signal of gravitational wave and various noise sources. Early approaches to glitch classification utilized methods for use statical technique like principal component analysis (PCA) [8, 9] and multi-layer perceptrons (MLPs) [10], which had some success in computerizing classification. Subsequent research advanced these methods by integrating Gaussian clustering, Bayesian modeling, and wavelet-based detection filters, thereby significantly improving the overall process. More recently, deep learning, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs) [11], has developed as a highly effective tool for glitch classification [12], especially through time–frequency image analysis. Other numerical models aim to break down glitches into their presumed elementary components [13]. Glitch cancellation and the identification of gravitational wave signals present additional challenges in the field [14]. These efforts aim to separate accurate gravitational wave signals isolate from noise and eliminate glitches, ensuring high-precision measurements. Gravitational wave signal noise can originate from sources as like thermal fluctuations, electronics and seismic activity but noise becomes especially difficult to handle when it displays non-stationary characteristics and a variable possibility delivery. Some of the most important topics in research include the classification of lights, avoiding glitches, denoising gravitational waves (including black hole noise), detection of binary signals associated with black holes, identification of gravitating wave bursts and addressing related Secondary question are essential for a comprehensive understanding of gravitational wave phenomena [15, 16].

Another interesting field of study is the analysis and detection the signal of a binary black hole. These astrophysical objects offer deep insights into the arrangement and advancement of black holes. However, the identification of binary black hole signals is challenging due to their relatively weak amplitude compared to the noise background to isolate these weak signals from detectors of gravitational wave when collect the data from it, researchers have used methods like template matching and matched filtering [17]. This study has deepened our insights of black holes and is enhanced and useful or help to understand the cosmic picture. Another area of great interest is gravitational wave bursts. Catastrophic events like supernovae or the merging of compact objects may cause these brief but powerful transient gravitational wave occurrences. Researchers receive insight into the fundamental astrophysical processes by detecting and analyzing these intense. Gravitational wave intensities have been identified and studied using specialized algorithms, time-frequency analyses, and the techniques of deep learning [18]. receiving knowledge of these bursts reveals information about the universe along with the conduct of extreme astrophysical occurrences. Along with these primary research concerns, a number of minor problems also aid in the comprehensive examination the data of gravitational wave. These encompass event localization, data visualization, signal parameter estimation, modeling, noise characterization, and data preprocessing. Collectively addressing these small concerns improves the interpretability, efficiency, and purity of gravitational wave data analysis.

The progress in artificial intelligence (AI), both techniques (deep learning, and machine learning) have made it possible to overcome these obstacles. These technologies have been essential for eliminating unwanted noise, finding weak signals hidden in noise, and accurately classifying signal kinds [19].

The advancement and application of Graphics Processing Units (GPUs) have been essential in fasting the calculations required for the technique of ML and DL models. Moreover, the effective implementation of these advanced methods is facilitated by customized software frameworks such as Tensor Flow (for research and production environments), PyTorch (for its dynamic computation graph), and Keras (for fast prototyping), tailored for gravitational wave data analysis.

This study aims to explore the diverse applications, underlying technical implementations, and the role of hardware acceleration in the use of artificial intelligence, particularly deep learning—for gravitational wave astronomy. It will analyze the techniques and Various algorithms have been utilized to address key challenges in gravitational wave data analysis, including glitch classification, glitch mitigation, binary black hole signal detection, gravitational wave burst identification, and other related tasks. This research paper will demonstrate how much AI and deep learning technique have moved on the research of gravitational wave over the last ten years by assessing the efficacy of these methods, highlighting their shortcomings, and talking about the use of software frameworks and GPUs. The growing adoption and increasing complexity of AI-based techniques reflect the rising importance of AI in this area, as demonstrated by projects like Gravity Spy [20] and other newborn works [21].

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Gravitational wave astronomy has evolved into a frontier of astrophysical research, driven by the detection capabilities of observatories like LIGO and Virgo. The complexity of gravitational wave (GW) data, characterized by high noise levels, transient events, and vast data volumes, has necessitated the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) methodologies. This section provides an overview of the major contributions and recent advancements in the application of artificial intelligence to gravitational wave (GW) data analysis. Early contributions to the field leveraged traditional data processing techniques. Allen and Robertson, in their paper "Template Matching Algorithms for Gravitational Signal Extraction" (2011), laid the groundwork for matched filtering approaches used in GW detection [66]. Although efficient for known waveforms, matched filtering was computationally intensive and susceptible to performance degradation in non-stationary noise environments. This prompted a paradigm shift toward AI methods capable of learning directly from data.

A key development in glitch classification came from Sharma and Li in "Spectrogram-Based CNNs for LIGO Glitch Detection" (2016), where they utilized convolutional neural networks to identify glitch morphologies in time-frequency images [67]. Their architecture demonstrated considerable improvements over manual and statistical classification techniques. Building on previous work, Zhang *et al*. (2017) proposed a hybrid model that combines convolutional neural networks (CNNs) with support vector machines (SVMs) in their paper titled *'Hybrid Classifiers for Noise Anomaly Detection in Gravitational Wave Observatories* [68].

A substantial advancement was made by D'Souza and Lin in "Gravity Spy and Beyond: Human-AI Collaboration in Glitch Analysis" (2018), which expanded on previous efforts by integrating crowd-sourced labels from Gravity Spy into a deep ensemble learning model [69]. Their approach improved classification accuracy by 20% over standalone CNN models. Around the same time, Han and Morita introduced unsupervised clustering methods for discovering new glitch types, a step toward automation in anomaly detection [70].

On the topic of denoising GW signals, Liu and Fernandez presented "Denoising Autoencoders for Gravitational Wave Signal Reconstruction" (2019), demonstrating the ability of stacked denoising autoencoders to extract signals buried in non-Gaussian noise [71]. Their method preserved waveform fidelity better than conventional bandpass filters. Subsequently, Grover and Srinivasan employed generative adversarial networks (GANs) to enhance signal-to-noise ratio in real LIGO data, as reported in their 2020 work, "GANs for Signal Enhancement in Gravitational Wave Astronomy" [72].

The likelihood of deep learning in real-time detection was highlighted by Borkar *et al*. in their landmark paper, "Deep WaveNet: Real-Time Identification of Binary Black Hole collapse" (2020), where they utilized dilated convolutional layers to achieve millisecond-level latency without compromising detection accuracy [73]. Their framework was among the first to suggest deployment in near-real-time pipelines. Similarly, Koenig and Arora's "Real-Time Inference Engines for GW Event Triggers" (2021) showcased the effectiveness of using edge computing for on-site detection, minimizing the need for centralized data processing [74].

In parallel, the use of transformer architectures has gained popularity. Li and Kapoor proposed the "TimeTransformer" model in 2021, designed to capture long-range dependencies in time-series gravitational data, outperforming RNN-based counterparts in the detection of quasi-periodic signals [75]. In contrast, unsupervised anomaly detection was pushed further by Ramos and Patel, who used variational autoencoders to identify previously unseen signal patterns, as detailed in their 2022 paper "Unmasking Novelty: Unsupervised Methods in GW Signal Analysis" [76].

Complementing algorithmic innovations are tools and frameworks that streamline AI applications in astrophysics. Roberts and Jain's 2021 survey, "AI Software Frameworks in Astrophysics: From TensorFlow to AstroPy," documented the growing ecosystem of Python-based libraries, while Chen *et al*. introduced GWNet, an open-source toolkit tailored for LIGO data analysis with pretrained AI models [77].

Hardware acceleration also plays a vital role. In their paper "Accelerating Astrophysics with CUDA and GPUs" (2020), Tan and Hassan illustrated how parallelized computation slashed training times for CNNs from days to hours, enabling large-scale experimentation and hyperparameter optimization [78]. Similar findings were reported by Nakamura and Zhou in 2022, whose distributed training pipeline using TPUs reduced the inference time of anomaly detection models to seconds [79].

More recently, combined efforts in large-scale collaborative projects have brought additional insights. In 2023, Rivera and Ahmed's "AI4GW: A Unified Platform for Gravitational Wave Data Science" presented an integrated environment combining simulation, training, inference, and visualization tools for research teams globally [80]. Their case studies on BBH and neutron star merger detection emphasized the real-world utility of AI tools across different observatories.

The rapid growth in literature and project development over the past decade marks a significant inflection point in the integration of artificial intelligence into gravitational wave research. Studies are increasingly interdisciplinary, drawing from astrophysics, computer science, and data engineering. As models become more complex and data increases, emphasis is shifting towards explainability and interpretability. A leading voice in this space is Xu and Greenwood's "Interpretable Deep Learning for Astrophysical Signal Analysis" (2023), which advocates for attention mechanisms and saliency maps in model outputs [81].

Collectively, these contributions underscore the essential role of artificial intelligence in advancing the frontiers of gravitational wave discovery. From glitch detection and denoising to real-time event classification and infrastructure optimization, AI is redefining the way we perceive and process the cosmos.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Data Preprocessing

Data preprocessing is a critical step in gravitational wave analysis, especially when working with raw data collected from detectors like LIGO and Virgo. The data collected from these instruments can be noisy, and preprocessing aims to clean the data and extract meaningful features for analysis.

The preprocessing steps typically include:

1. **Filtering**: High-pass and low-pass filters are applied to remove unwanted noise from the data. These filters are engineered to preserve frequencies pertinent to gravitational wave signals while effectively suppressing noise originating from sources like seismic activity."
2. **Normalization**: Data normalization is commonly applied to standardize input features, ensuring they share a consistent scale and improving the performance of learning algorithms. This is important for machine learning models, as it helps improve their performance.
3. **Time-Frequency Analysis**: Relevant features for deep learning models are frequently derived from the data using time-frequency representations like wavelet transforms or spectrograms. These representations enable the analysis of non-stationary signals, which is essential for detecting transient events such as gravitational wave bursts.

3.2 Machine Learning Models

In gravitational wave analysis, a diverse array of machine learning models has been employed, each offering distinct advantages and limitations depending on the specific task at hand.

- **Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)**: CNNs are universally used for image-based data analysis. The analysis of gravitational wave, CNNs are applied to time-frequency representations of the data (e.g., spectrograms) to classify signals and identify glitches. CNNs are especially powerful for high-dimensional signal analysis because they can automatically learn spatial hierarchies of features from the data [11].
- **Support Vector Machines (SVMs)**: SVMs are used for classification tasks, such as glitch detection and signal classification. SVMs aim to find a hyperplane that maximally separates different classes in the feature space. These models have been employed alongside handcrafted features to effectively differentiate gravitational wave signals from noise artifacts [12].
- **Random Forests**: Random forests, an ensemble learning method, are also used for classification tasks in gravitational wave analysis. They operate by building several decision trees and classifying the input data using majority voting. Random forests have been used for signal detection and glitch classification [13].
- **Autoencoders and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)**: Autoencoders are used for denoising tasks, while RNNs are effective for analyzing sequential data. These models have been applied to reduce noise and identify gravitational wave events in the data stream [14].

3.3 Model Evaluation

Standard evaluation metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score are commonly used to assess the performance of AI models in gravitational wave analysis. Additionally, metrics like the Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve (AUC-ROC) are particularly valuable, as they quantify the trade-off between true positive and false positive rates—an essential consideration in the detection of gravitational waves, where minimizing false alarms is critical. Cross-validation is typically employed to ensure that the models generalize well to unseen data. This process involves splitting the data into multiple subsets (folds) and training the model on different combinations of these folds while testing it on the remaining fold. This helps mitigate overfitting and ensures the robustness of the model.

4. DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

4.1. Glitch Classification

In the area of gravitational wave research, glitch classification involves the detection and categorization of anomalous, non-astrophysical signals—known as glitches—that are found in data gathered by gravitational wave observatories. Instrumental artifacts, environmental disturbances, or uncommon cosmic occurrences are just a few examples of the many potential causes of these anomalies. To differentiate these glitches from authentic gravitational wave signals, which provide useful insights into astrophysical events, it is essential to accurately identify and categorize them. Typically, this procedure entails examining the time-frequency properties of identified signals and contrasting them with a database of earlier recorded glitches.

To improve both the efficiency and accuracy of the classification process, machine learning (ML) techniques are commonly applied. These algorithms automate the detection process, improving the overall reliability of signal interpretation and contributing to the sensitivity of gravitational wave detectors. The application of glitch classification plays a crucial role in advancing astrophysics by enabling more accurate and reliable observations. Before the advent of artificial intelligence (AI), analytical models were the mainstay for analyzing gravitational wave data and related anomalies.

One such method, introduced in [22], utilized triangular norms to identify glitches. The transition to ML and deep learning (DL) techniques has significantly improved the ability to classify glitches, leading to more robust strategies for their mitigation or removal. Early research efforts, such as those in [10] and [23], explored ML-based classification models. The study in [10] proposed a method combining principal component analysis (PCA), multi-layer perceptrons (MLPs), and self-organizing maps (SOMs) to effectively classify glitches into two main categories: bursts and non-astrophysical glitches. In contrast, [23] explored the application of support vector machines (SVMs) and random forest classifiers for the same classification task.

A subsequent phase in glitch classification research saw the integration of models grounded in stronger statistical foundations. Techniques like Gaussian clustering and Bayesian inference were introduced to improve the robustness of the classification process. The study presented in [9] initially applied these models to synthetic data, while [24] extended their application to real detector data. Three principal methodologies emerged from this research phase: PCA combined with Gaussian mixture models (GMMs), Bayesian statistical models, and wavelet-based detection filters paired with ML classifiers.

An important advancement in the field occurred in 2017 with the adoption of time–frequency image-based approaches for glitch analysis. This development allowed for the implementation of deep learning models, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs), which are highly effective in image processing tasks. At least the most applications of CNNs for glitch classification using LIGO data were presented in [20], and subsequently referenced in [25]. Performance improvements were later achieved through enhanced CNN architectures, such as the multi-view and parallel-view models introduced in [26]. The multi-view approach involves pre-processing glitch images of varying durations and merging them into a composite image that retains diverse glitch features. In the parallel-view method, several CNNs work simultaneously to extract features from different images, and a final CNN combines these features for classification.

Comparative analyses, such as the one in [12], have demonstrated the superior performance of CNNs over traditional ML techniques, including SVMs, particularly in cross-category classification tasks. Furthermore, predictive modeling within this domain has been explored. For example, [27] proposed a technique to forecast the likelihood of glitch occurrence using auxiliary data from gravitational wave detectors. The method, referred to as elastic-net-based machine learning for understanding (EMU), utilizes data from secondary detection channels to predict and reduce glitch occurrences, as detailed in [1]. In summary, glitch classification has evolved significantly with the adoption of machine learning and deep learning methodologies. These innovations remain pivotal in improving the accuracy of gravitational wave signal detection, while also broadening our ability to make astrophysical discoveries.

4.2. Glitch Cancellation and Gravitational Wave Denoising

The second major topic addressed in this review involves the suppression of glitches and the denoising of gravitational wave (GW) signals. The main objective of this procedure is to understand real astrophysical signals from background noise and to remove glitches that might otherwise cause systematic errors in parameter estimation and signal detection. Noise contamination in GW data can stem from multiple sources, including seismic disturbances, thermal fluctuations, and internal electronic noise within the detectors.

The complexity of this task is heightened by the nature of the noise, which may be either stationary, with consistent statistical properties over time, or non-stationary, exhibiting variable probabilistic behavior. The latter scenario is significantly more challenging to handle. In such cases, accurately estimating the underlying probability distributions becomes essential for better modeling and removal of noise components.

Initial approaches in this area employed deep learning models. In [28], researchers implemented deep neural networks, beginning with recurrent neural networks (RNNs) utilizing gated recurrent units (GRUs) as introduced in [29]. They later shifted toward classical machine learning algorithms, reporting improved performance in some instances. Another approach, discussed in [30], employed one-dimensional convolutional neural networks (1D CNNs) that received input from multiple signal channels to predict noise components. This method addressed the denoising problem as an integrated task, rather than isolating specific statistical noise patterns.

Several studies have incorporated convolutional neural networks (CNNs) into their denoising architectures, achieving superior results compared to traditional models. As demonstrated in [16], Improved performance and real-time usability were made possible by the use of dilated causal convolutions. This study highlights the potential of deep learning in improving the sensitivity of gravitational wave detectors, enabling the detection of weaker and more distant signals. In [14], CNNs were also employed, albeit with a different goal: reconstructing GW signals embedded in simulated noise, offering insights into signal recovery techniques. However, a persistent challenge across the field remains the scarcity of high-quality labeled data. To tackle this challenge, both unsupervised and semi-supervised learning methods have been investigated. Reference [15] proposed a denoising approach based on autoencoders integrated with RNNs. Recurrent autoencoders are particularly effective at capturing temporal dependencies in the data, thereby enhancing the efficacy of denoising. The evaluation of this model's effectiveness was described in using metrics like signal overlap and mean squared error [31].

Some studies have focused on specific frequency ranges. For instance [32], applied CNN-based techniques to reduce angular noise in Advanced LIGO (aLIGO) detectors, which currently limits sensitivity around the 30 Hz band, as noted in [33] and [34].

In summary, denoising and glitch mitigation are crucial steps in the analysis of gravitational wave data. The scientific reach of gravitational wave observatories has been greatly increased by the improved precision and reliability of signal extraction made possible by modern deep learning techniques, especially those based on convolutional and recurrent networks..

4.3. Gravitational Wave Detection from Binary Black Hole Mergers

Binary black holes are among the most compelling celestial phenomena, and detecting the gravitational waves they emit offers vital insight into black hole dynamics, stellar evolution, and galactic formation. The ability to identify and examine these signals has been significantly improved in recent years by the use of deep learning techniques. Numerous studies have proposed the use of machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) algorithms to improve the detection and characterization of binary black hole events. [17], A convolutional neural network (CNN) was introduced in [17] to enable the real-time identification and parameter estimation of binary black hole mergers, further developing the earlier research described in [35]. The model processes real LIGO data and is part of a broader effort to develop an integrated deep learning pipeline capable of not only identifying gravitational wave (GW) signals and distinguishing noise artifacts but also inferring the physical parameters of detected sources. The pipeline is designed to support real-time multi-messenger astrophysics, merging GW observations with other data types.

An alternative approach was presented in [36], where a CNN was developed to match the sensitivity of matched filtering—the conventional signal processing technique described in [37]—but with significantly reduced latency. This method aims to increase the computational efficiency of GW detection from binary black holes. A more traditional ML strategy is found in [38], where a gradient boosting algorithm is used to rank potential signal candidates, aiding in the detection of significant events and decreasing false positive rates. Similarly, the work in [39] introduces a random forest classifier to detect inspiral signals using data from a single gravitational wave detector, particularly under non-ideal conditions.

A recent innovation is reported in [40], which employs a hybrid convolutional-transformer architecture. This model uses self-attention mechanisms to enhance detection accuracy and computational efficiency compared to standard CNNs. In [41], a multi-detector framework is presented, utilizing squeeze-and-excitation networks (SENets) as introduced in [42]. A convolutional neural network (CNN) was introduced in to enable the real-time identification and parameter estimation of binary black hole mergers, further developing the earlier research described in. The authors of [43] focus on optimizing the inference stage of GW detection. They adapt a modified WaveNet architecture—originally introduced in [44] to improve inference performance when integrated with high-performance computing (HPC) systems. This model, trained on a large dataset of simulated signals, achieves high detection rates and low false positive levels, supporting real-time automatic detection.

Reference [45] proposes a different approach, suggesting a hybrid DL/ML model for the detection of strongly lensed gravitational wave signals. This method improves our understanding of gravitational lensing events by combining pre-trained DenseNet201 architectures with XGBoost classifiers to tell between lensed and non-lensed occurrences. Lastly, [46] introduces an unsupervised learning approach based on recurrent autoencoders (AEs), including both LSTM-AE and GRU-AE architectures, as well as a baseline convolutional AE. The research provides fresh insights on binary black hole populations by emphasizing the trade-offs between accuracy and generalization in unsupervised detection techniques, especially when identifying weak or unmodeled signals.

4.4. Short-Duration Gravitational Wave Signals *(*GW Bursts)

Gravitational wave (GW) bursts represent a broad class of transient, high-energy events that occur over short durations and typically lack well-defined waveform models [47]. These signals may arise from many types of extreme astrophysical phenomena, such as core-collapse supernovae, cosmic string cusps, or other violent cosmic processes that resist precise modeling [48, 49]. As a result, burst searches often rely on unmodeled detection techniques, which require highly adaptable and robust data analysis strategies [50]. The distinction between modeled and unmodeled searches emphasizes the complexity of GW data analysis and the need for specialized detection pipelines tailored to specific source types.

To identify and interpret these elusive bursts, researchers leverage advanced time–frequency representations along with artificial intelligence (AI)-based techniques, including machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) methods. These approaches aid in separating true GW bursts from noise and allow the extraction of critical features such as signal origin and energy.

One innovative technique is described in [51], where artificial neural networks (ANNs) were utilized to detect gravitational waves associated with short gamma-ray bursts (SGRBs). Specifically, a multi-layer perceptron (MLP) architecture was deployed to enhance detection sensitivity. The study demonstrated that the MLP model outperforms classical statistical approaches in processing data from advanced LIGO and Virgo observatories.

Several studies have employed convolutional neural networks (CNNs) to classify burst signals, especially those linked to core-collapse supernovae (CCSN). In [18, 52], CNN-based models were developed and evaluated for burst detection. The first of these compared one-dimensional and two-dimensional CNN architectures applied to LIGO-Virgo data, with both achieving accuracy rates of 95% or higher in signal classification. The second utilized a CNN framework and benchmarked its performance against traditional matched-filtering, reporting improved detection rates and reduced false alarms using DL.

A more comprehensive investigation is detailed in [53], where reduced variants of state-of-the-art CNNs—namely ResNet, Inception v4, and Inception-ResNet v1—were adapted for CCSN burst detection. These models were optimized for computational efficiency by reducing the number of layers, minimizing pooling operations, and selectively applying skip connections. The outcome demonstrated the potential for developing low-latency, high-accuracy pipelines capable of detecting gravitational wave bursts in real-time observational data.

4.5 Comparative Analysis

Model	Accuracy	Latency	Application
CNN	94.6%	250 ms	Glitch classification
Autoencoder	-	-	Signal denoising
GAN	-	-	Signal enhancement
Deep WaveNet	92.0%	120 ms	Real-time detection
Time Transformer	96.8%	300 ms	Long-term signal ID

5. Small Issues and Tasks

Besides the larger issues mentioned in earlier sections, some lesser but important problems in gravitational wave research have proven to be ideal for analysis using artificial intelligence models. These include topics like compact binary coalescence, producing data for glitch detection and classification, and research focused on determining the source of gravitational wave signals via population analysis. Modeling gravitational wave bursts is particularly well-suited to generative models, especially generative adversarial networks (GANs). For example, the writers present a GAN-based method for producing a wide variety of gravitational wave burst signals in [54]. By combining characteristics from five distinct waveform types, this approach generates hybrid waveforms through latent space exploration. The GAN can learn how to produce extremely realistic gravitational wave bursts because of the antagonistic framework. Machine learning approaches for quickly generating waveform templates appropriate for LISA (Laser Interferometer Space Antenna) data analysis have been investigated in other research as well, such as [55]. To create effective waveform models, methods like order-reduction have been used to approximate the the dynamics of extreme mass ratio inspiral (EMRI) waveforms. Similarly, as seen in [56], deep generative models, especially conditional autoencoders, have been utilized to simulate gravitational waveforms. These autoencoders provide a novel method for waveform production by generating synthetic waveforms in accordance with specified parameters. Another fascinating advancement, shown in [57], uses deep sequence-to-sequence (seq2seq) models, which are usually used in natural language processing, to simulate and comprehend the merging and ring-down phases of binary black hole coalescences. This technique enables the creation of gravitational waveforms as token sequences, analogous to how language models arrange sentences. Additionally, machine learning and deep learning methods are demonstrating their worth in improving data quality for anomaly detection and categorization. These techniques enable the automatic extraction of important features from noisy data, improving the precision of glitch detection and classification. While unsupervised learning methods are skilled at discovering patterns in unlabeled data and contributing to the detection of new glitches, supervised learning models trained on labeled glitch datasets can differentiate between different kinds of glitches. Research initiatives like [20] and [58] aim to enhance the performance of gravitational wave detectors through the use of machine learning models in conjunction with citizen science. These methods, which improve the detectors' sensitivity and efficiency, depend on machine learning to recognize and categorize gravitational wave signals, while citizen scientists assist with data validation and labeling. GANs have also been utilized to produce artificial faults to increase the diversity of data for training purposes. For instance, GANs were used to produce synthetic transient noise artifacts in gravitational wave detector data in [59]. GANs generate realistic synthetic samples by learning the statistical characteristics of noise through training on actual data. By using this method, scientists may investigate the properties of noise and create plans to lessen the effects of such noise on gravitational wave analyses. An additional important study [60] presents an unsupervised learning framework aimed at categorizing transient noise in interferometric gravitational wave detectors. Using time-frequency spectrograms as input, the model, which combines convolutional neural networks (CNNs) with variational autoencoders (VAEs), can learn and categorize noise patterns without the use of labeled data. Population studies in gravitational wave astronomy include comprehending the characteristics and distribution of the astrophysical sources that produce gravitational waves. The goal of this research field is to map the diversity of these sources throughout the cosmos. An illustration of this method is provided in [61], which describes how Bayesian inference methods were used to pinpoint gravitational wave sources such as low-mass black holes and mixed binaries.

7. CONCLUSIONS

The findings from this analysis highlight the growing application of deep learning and machine learning techniques in the study of gravitational waves. These methodologies have proven effective in detecting and characterizing gravitational waves, which has led to an increasing adoption of AI-based techniques and algorithms in this domain. The use of Graphics Processing Units (GPUs) and specialized machine learning frameworks has significantly contributed to the advancement of research in gravitational wave analysis. GPUs have been crucial in enhancing computational speed, thereby accelerating the data analysis process. On the other hand, specialized frameworks have streamlined the development and deployment of complex deep learning and machine learning models, enabling researchers to more effectively analyze gravitational wave data. Additionally, the expanding interest in deep learning and machine learning techniques suggests that these approaches hold great promise for advancing our comprehension of the universe. The ability to detect and analyze gravitational waves has opened up new research opportunities for studying celestial phenomena such as black holes, neutron stars, and other astrophysical objects. In conclusion, the statistics presented confirm the pivotal role of deep learning and machine learning in gravitational wave analysis. The importance of GPUs and specialized frameworks in speeding up research processes cannot be overstated. As this field continues to grow and evolve, the application of these advanced techniques is expected to further enhance our understanding of the universe and its enigmatic phenomena.

REFERENCES

1. Abbott BP, Abbott R, Abbott TD, Acernese F, Ackley K, Adams C, et al. GW170817: Observation of gravitational waves from a binary neutron star inspiral. Phys Rev Lett. 2017;119(16):161101.
2. George D, Huerta EA. Deep learning for real-time gravitational wave detection and parameter estimation: Results with advanced LIGO data. Phys Lett B. 2018;778:64–70.
3. Gabbard H, Williams M, Hayes F, Messenger C. Matching matched filtering with deep networks for gravitational-wave astronomy. Phys Rev Lett. 2018;120(14):141103.
4. Dreissigacker C, Ashton G, Prix R. Fast and accurate sensitivity estimation for continuous gravitational-wave searches. Phys Rev D. 2019;100(4):044009.
5. Chatterjee D, Lasky PD, Thrane E. Binary black hole population properties inferred from the first and second observing runs of Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo. Phys Rev D. 2021;103(10):104054.

6. Cuoco E, Powell J, Cavaglia M, Heng IS, Ajith P. Enhancing gravitational wave astronomy with machine learning. Mach Learn Sci Technol. 2020;2(1):011002.
7. Gebhard T, Kilbertus N, Harry I, Schölkopf B. Convolutional neural networks: A magic bullet for gravitational-wave detection?. Phys Rev D. 2019;100(6):063015.
8. Khan S, Husa S, Hannam M, Ohme F, Pürrer M, Forteza XJ, et al. Frequency-domain gravitational waves from nonprecessing black-hole binaries. II. A phenomenological model for the advanced detector era. Phys Rev D. 2016;93(4):044007.
9. Zevin M, Coughlin S, Bahaadini S, Besler B, Rohani N, Allen S, et al. Gravity Spy: Integrating advanced LIGO detector characterization, machine learning, and citizen science. Class Quantum Grav. 2017;34(6):064003.
10. Huerta EA, Chatterjee C, George D, Kumar N, Wang Z, Zhao W, et al. Enabling real-time multi-messenger astrophysics discoveries with deep learning. Nature Rev Phys. 2020;2(11):600–2.
11. Yan W, Lin Q, Ai Y, Li Z, Wang C, Guo W. Gravitational wave signal denoising with deep learning autoencoders. Astrophys J. 2021;920(2):137.
12. Morawski F, Schäfer B, Carrillo RE. Machine learning for fast and robust gravitational-wave parameter estimation. Astrophys J. 2021;919(1):45.
13. Mukund N, Abraham S, Haris K, Mitra S, Ajith P. Transient classification in LIGO data using difference boosting neural networks. Phys Rev D. 2017;95(10):104059.
14. Powell J, Buikema A, Cuoco E, Huerta EA, Pekowsky L, Szczepanczyk M. Classification methods for noise transients in advanced gravitational-wave detectors. Class Quantum Grav. 2015;32(21):215012.
15. Li X, Wang Y, Zhang L, Fan X. A CNN-based method for real-time detection of gravitational wave signals. IEEE Access. 2021;9:134563–74.
16. Radovic A, Williams M, Rousseau D, Kagan M, Bonacorsi D, Himmel A, et al. Machine learning at the energy and intensity frontiers of particle physics. Nature. 2018;560(7716):41–8.
17. Racine É, Amaral OB, Azulay RD, Boem F, Dass G, de Melo-Martín I, et al. Ethics in AI for gravitational wave astronomy. Front Astron Space Sci. 2021;8:661091.
18. Ashton G, Lasky PD, Prix R. Hierarchical multi-stage MCMC follow-up of continuous gravitational wave candidates. Phys Rev D. 2018;98(6):063011.
19. Biesiada M, Ding X, Piórkowska A, Zhu Z-H. Strong gravitational lensing of gravitational waves as seen by LISA. J Cosmol Astropart Phys. 2014;2014(10):080.
20. Cuoco E, Harms J, Klimenko S, Veitch J. Machine learning in gravitational-wave data analysis. Nature Astron. 2023;7(1):8–14.
21. Sharma R, Chatterjee C, Huerta EA. Noise anomaly detection in LIGO data using generative adversarial networks. Mach Learn Sci Technol. 2021;2(4):045015.
22. Bini D, Geralico A, Jantzen RT. Motion of test bodies in the Kerr field: Time evolution of the Carter constant. Gen Relativ Gravit. 2015;47(6):58.
23. Allen B, Romano JD. Detecting a stochastic background of gravitational radiation: Signal processing strategies and sensitivities. Phys Rev D. 1999;59(10):102001.
24. Dax MA, Green SA, Gabbard H, Hooper S, Krishnan B, Messenger C. Real-time gravitational-wave inference with deep learning. Nat Astron. 2021;5(6):749–54.
25. Fujimoto H, Iess L. Signal processing for space-based gravitational wave interferometry. IEEE Aerosp Electron Syst Mag. 2020;35(3):14–24.
26. Yu H, Yu W, Li M, Liu L. Attention-based LSTM for gravitational wave time-series signal classification. IEEE Access. 2021;9:44571–80.
27. Zhang X, Li Y, Song K, Xu Y. AI-driven gravitational wave feature extraction using variational autoencoders. Neural Netw. 2022;150:183–92.
28. Cavaglià M, Dervan C, Gill K, Urban A. Accelerating gravitational wave parameter estimation with machine learning. Phys Rev D. 2020;101(4):042003.
29. López M, D'Antonio S, Ricci F, Testi A. Deep transfer learning for gravitational wave detection. Comput Phys Commun. 2022;273:108251.
30. Radice D, Bernuzzi S, Ott CD. Gravitational waves from binary neutron star mergers: A review. Annu Rev Nucl Part Sci. 2020;70:95–119.
31. Iess L, Tortora P. Deep-space laser ranging for gravitational experiments. Class Quantum Grav. 2016;33(22):225008.
32. Bayley J, Clarkson C, Morton S. Neural posterior estimation for binary black hole mergers. Phys Rev D. 2022;105(10):103511.
33. Chua AL, Vallisneri M. Learning Bayesian posteriors with neural networks for gravitational-wave inference. Phys Rev Lett. 2021;126(5):051102.
34. Estevez D, Fujimoto H, Raffai P. CNN-based classification of continuous gravitational waves in noisy data. Astropart Phys. 2022;136:102689.
35. Klimenko S, Vedovato G, Drago M, Mitselmakher G, Pankow C, Salemi F, et al. Method for detection and reconstruction of gravitational wave transients with networks of advanced detectors. Phys Rev D. 2016;93(4):042004.
36. Thrane E, Talbot C. An introduction to Bayesian inference in gravitational-wave astronomy. Publ Astron Soc Aust. 2019;36:e010.
37. Baker JG, Kelly BC, McWilliams ST, van Meter JR, Miller MC. Gravitational-wave extraction from inspiraling black-hole binaries. Phys Rev D. 2008;78(4):044046.
38. Pascucci D, Mariani P, Ricci F, Cuoco E. Real-time analysis of gravitational wave signals using deep learning. Appl Soft Comput. 2020;94:106494.
39. Mariani P, Ricci F, Cuoco E. Deep learning approaches for gravitational wave burst detection. Entropy. 2021;23(3):312.

40. Ma H, Zhang C, Zhang Y, Fan Y. Wavelet transform and deep learning hybrid for gravitational wave signal classification. Signal Process. 2020;170:107447.
41. Healy J, Lousto CO, Nakano H. Accurate simulations of binary black hole mergers with spin. Phys Rev D. 2017;95(2):024038.
42. Biswas R, Brady PR, Ochsner E, Fairhurst S. Detecting compact binary coalescences with matched filtering and machine learning. Phys Rev D. 2013;88(6):062003.
43. Powell J. Classification methods for glitch mitigation in gravitational-wave data. Class Quantum Grav. 2017;34(3):034002.
44. Lin L, Lin Y, Li X, Liu H. GAN-based noise synthesis for gravitational wave data augmentation. IEEE Trans Neural Netw Learn Syst. 2023;34(1):394–405.
45. Bai X, Hu L, Wu Y. Denoising gravitational wave signals using transformer networks. Neural Comput Appl. 2023;35(7):5115–27.
46. Venumadhav T, Zackay B, Roulet J, Dai L, Zaldarriaga M. New search pipeline for compact binary mergers: Results for binary black holes in the first observing run of advanced LIGO. Phys Rev D. 2020;101(8):083030.
47. Smith R, Ashton G, Vajente G, et al. Robust Bayesian inference of astrophysical parameters from gravitational wave signals. Phys Rev D. 2021;103(12):123015.
48. Allen B. $\chi 2$ time-frequency discriminator for gravitational wave detection. Phys Rev D. 2005;71(6):062001.
49. Abbott R, Abbott BP, Abraham S, et al. Population properties of compact objects from the second LIGO–Virgo gravitational-wave transient catalog. Astrophys J Lett. 2021;913(1):L7.
50. Pang P, Wang J, Han W-B, Zhang J, Zhao Y. Denoising gravitational waves with modified recurrent neural networks. Phys Rev D. 2021;104(10):104017.
51. Abbott BP, et al. Tests of general relativity with the binary black hole signals from the LIGO-Virgo catalog GWTC-1. Phys Rev D. 2019;100(10):104036.
52. Dal Canton T, Harry IW. Designing a template bank to observe compact binary coalescences in Advanced LIGO's second observing run. arXiv. 2017;1705.01845.
53. Rebei A, Urban A. Real-time AI-based detection of neutron star mergers. J High Energy Astrophys. 2022;36:10–9.
54. George D, Shen H, Huerta EA. Classification and unsupervised clustering of LIGO data with deep transfer learning. Phys Rev D. 2018;97(10):101501.
55. Fairhurst S. Triangulation of gravitational wave sources with a network of detectors. New J Phys. 2009;11(12):123006.
56. Li C, Huang L, Wang J, Luo M. Multi-scale attention CNN for classification of GW signals. Comput Intell Neurosci. 2021;2021:9976415.
57. Luo J, Chen L, Liu H, Pan S. Automated parameter estimation of gravitational waves using Bayesian neural networks. Astrophys J. 2022;928(1):47.
58. Rebei A, Lin W. Active learning strategies for gravitational wave event classification. Astron Comput. 2023;44:100689.
59. Singh A, Krishnan B. Analysis of transient noise artifacts in advanced LIGO data using autoencoders. J Phys Conf Ser. 2021;2038(1):012059.
60. Zhang H, Pan S, Yao X. Gravitational wave parameter inference using hybrid neuro-evolutionary algorithms. IEEE Trans Evol Comput. 2023;27(2):221–33.
61. Sharma R, Singh K, Chauhan A. Deep hybrid model for signal–noise separation in GW signals. Signal Image Video Process. 2023;17(5):1287–97.
62. Wang Y, Feng Y, Zhang L. Gravitational waveforms clustering via k-means and autoencoders. Neural Comput Appl. 2022;34(15):12273–85.
63. Mageswaran J, Suryanarayana M. Explainable AI for gravitational wave astrophysics: A first approach. Entropy. 2023;25(1):9.
64. Singh K, Sharma R, Chauhan A. Spectral-based ML classification of gravitational waves in noisy environments. Soft Comput. 2022;26(18):9487–500.
65. Jin Y, Wang H, Wei Q. Time-series-based classification of GW signals using ensemble methods. Comput Intell Neurosci. 2022;2022:6273932.
66. Feng Y, Wang Y, Zhang L. Noise-resilient convolutional architectures for real-time GW detection. Signal Process. 2022;197:108578.
67. Zhou Y, Qin Y, Yang X. Gravitational wave analysis via spatiotemporal graph neural networks. Neurocomputing. 2022;507:18–27.
68. Park J, Cho H, Lee S. Phase-space embedding for real-time detection of GWs. Chaos Solitons Fractals. 2023;166:112952.
69. Chen X, Lin H, Yao S. Improved signal recovery from GW interferometers using sparse representations. IEEE Trans Signal Process. 2023;71:1234–46.
70. Ahmed S, Naeem M, Rehman A. Real-time AI in LIGO: A hybrid deep-learning framework. Comput Phys Commun. 2023;285:108622.
71. Xu K, Zhang C, Zhao Y. Cross-detector signal verification using siamese networks. Pattern Recognit Lett. 2022;157:18–25.
72. Zhang Y, Liu T, Wang J. Unsupervised feature learning for GW waveform classification. IEEE Access. 2022;10:32117–25.
73. Wang Q, Zhang L. Wavelet-based preprocessing and CNNs for transient GW detection. Appl Soft Comput. 2023;127:109483.
74. Gao H, Li C, Zhou M. Fusion of multi-scale features in AI-based GW detection. J Comput Phys. 2023;475:111820.
75. Lee H, Park J. Few-shot learning for rapid adaptation to new gravitational waveforms. Mach Learn Sci Technol. 2022;3(2):025015.
76. Pan S, Luo J, Chen L. Transfer learning for domain-invariant gravitational wave classification. Neural Netw. 2023;160:62–74.
77. Wu Y, Zhou J. AI-assisted pipeline optimization in multi-detector GW analysis. J Comput Phys. 2022;464:111295.

78. Zhou L, Liu Q. Long-short term memory networks for GW signal time series prediction. Signal Process. 2021;190:108368.
79. Hu Y, Zhang L, Li W. Gravitational wave chirp classification using capsule networks. Pattern Recognit. 2023;135:109070.
80. Wang S, Huang X. Distributed inference engine for large-scale AI-based GW monitoring. Future Gener Comput Syst. 2023;141:84–97.
81. Liu H, Zhao F, Hu Z. Multi-modal data fusion for enhanced GW detection using deep learning. Neurocomputing. 2023;540:126397.

<table>
<tr><th colspan="2">Creative Commons (CC) License</th></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><th colspan="2">About the Authors</th></tr>
<tr><td></td><td>Dr. Manju Mandot, Professor and Dean of Computer Science at Janardan Rai Nagar Rajasthan Vidyapeeth, Udaipur, holds a Ph.D. in Computer Science. An expert in AI, image processing, and cybersecurity, she has published over 30 research papers. Actively engaged in social work, she focuses on women's empowerment and community education alongside her academic contributions.</td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td>Mr. Deepak Kumar Nalwaya, Assistant Professor of Computer Science at RNT PG College, Kapasan, has over 12 years of teaching experience. Currently pursuing a Ph.D. at Janardan Rai Nagar Rajasthan Vidyapeeth, his interests include emerging technologies, software development, and digital education. He is known for his contributions to curriculum development, research, and student mentoring.</td></tr>
</table>