IJCRM
International Journal of Contemporary Research In Multidisciplinary

Review Paper

Fiscal Policy and Income Inequality: A Comprehensive Analysis

Vedant Tiwari[1], Shivansh Pandey[2], Dr. Vikas Tiwari[3*]
[1]B.COM And M.COM From University of Allahabad and UGC Net Qualified, Uttar Pradesh, India
[2]B.COM And M.COM From University of Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
[3]Assistant Professor, Rajkiya Engineering College Sonbhadra, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: * Dr. Vikas Tiwari

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12533104

Abstract

Income inequality means the unequal distribution of income among different societal groups, and it is a potential threat to social stability, economic growth, and overall welfare. Fiscal policy, taken as government spending and taxes, stands in the limelight in its efforts to avert this problem. This paper will explore how budgetary policy interacts with the issue of income inequality from the standpoint of Keynesian economics and in light of classical and neoclassical economics and public choice theory. It considers how fiscal policy may help reduce inequality through progressive taxation, social transfers, and public-service investments. Anecdotal evidence on the impact of these policies abounds from both developed and developing countries. Political, economic, and administrative challenges to effective implementation are identified. It concludes with policy recommendations that focus on progressive tax reforms, strengthened social safety nets, and investments in public services to promote inclusive growth. The emphasis is that only well-designed and well-implemented fiscal policies can better serve humanity.

Manuscript Information

- **ISSN No:** 2583-7397
- **Received:** 19-05-2024
- **Accepted:** 21-06-2024
- **Published:** 25-06-2024
- **IJCRM:**3(3); 2024: 170-172

- **Plagiarism Checked:** Yes
- **Peer Review Process:** Yes

How to Cite this Manuscript

Vedant Tiwari, Shivansh Pandey, Dr. Vikas Tiwari. Fiscal Policy and Income Inequality: A Comprehensive Analysis. International Journal of Contemporary Research in Multidisciplinary.2024; 3(3): 170-172.

KEYWORDS: Income inequality, Keynesian Economics, Taxes, Fiscal policy.

INTRODUCTION

Income inequality, which represents a real disparity in the distribution of income across different groups of people, currently poses a major challenge to social stability, economic growth, and general well-being. Fiscal policy refers to anything related to government spending and taxation, acting as one of the critical approaches to income inequality. This paper examines the relation of fiscal policy with income inequality from both a theoretical perspective and related empirical evidence and policy implications.[1]

Theoretical Perspectives

Keynesian Economics: Keynesian economics encourages government involvement through fiscal policy to moderate economic inequalities. In times of recession, raising government spending can help stimulate demand, produce employment opportunities, and enhance incomes for low-income families. On the other hand, in periods of boom, higher taxes on the rich would prevent overheating and lower inequality by redistributing wealth.

Classical and Neoclassical Economics.

Classical and neoclassical economists recommend minimal government intervention; they emphasize that markets are efficient. They argue that fiscal policies, including high taxation, can distort market incentives, leading to inefficiencies. But even within this framework, it is also recognized that some redistributive measures may be necessary when extreme inequalities threaten to destabilize economies.[2]

Public Choice Theory

Public choice theory brings politics into the fray, positing that political considerations deeply influence fiscal policies. Governments can take measures that favor a group of people over others, depending on the chosen policies, which may help to grow income inequality. This analysis makes the argument that policy should be in place that is open and fair, how Fiscal Policy Can Help to Solve Income Inequality.

Taxation

Progressive Taxation

This is a way in which income inequality can be addressed directly. The approach states that the more a person earns, the more they should give. A more significant part of an individual's income is returned to society and can be redistributed in social programs. Progressive Taxation Regressive taxes, like the sales tax, could overburden people with low incomes to the levels that create inequality. Yet exemptions or lower rates for essential goods might prevent that. [4] Wealth Taxes Wealth taxes, as represented by property and inheritance taxes, can address wealth concentration and provide incomes for redistributive programs. Government Expenditures Social Transfers Social welfare expenditures like those on unemployment, pensions, and health directly support low-income households. In all, these transfers substantially reduce income disparities, act as a security net, and increase economic mobility.

Public Services: Investment in public services in education, health care, and infrastructure increases the opportunities for groups at a financial disadvantage, and hence, long-term equality could be established. Subsidies and Grants: Targeted subsidies and grants could be used to prop up specific sectors or regions to boost them—these can also help in reducing regional imbalances and promoting inclusive growth. Empirical Evidence.

Developed Economies

The United States: in the case of the U.S., where income inequality has increased despite its severe tax policies. Research shows that though some impacts of tax policies act in a redistributing manner, its effect is nullified through other factors like labor market conditions and unequal distribution of education.

European Union: generally, the welfare policies of European countries are more all-inclusive and have been proved successful to reduce the income inequality. Scandinavia has the lowest inequality, given its high taxes and generous social spending.

Developing Economies

Brazil: The country has been able to decrease its rate of poverty as well as income inequality substantially due to the conditional cash transfer initiative known as Bolsa Família. It is one example of targeted social programs and fiscal policy.

India: The introduction of progressive taxation and welfare programs has shown some success in poverty reduction within this country. However, implementation and working with the most marginalized groups remain challenging—comparative Analysis. The comparative studies have reflected that the countries with more significant government intervention with the assistance of fiscal policies can reduce the income inequality level in those countries. The effectiveness of the policies will be obtained only when they are appropriately designed and executed in a broader economic aspect.[3]

Challenges in Implementing Effective Fiscal Policies

Political Constraints. Fiscal policies are politically constrained; therefore, policymakers always balance the different interests. Economic constraints arise from the financial situation, such as high public debts or recession, that affect government implementation capacity in expansive fiscal policies.

Administrative capacity. Fiscal policies would also need to be effectively implemented. In many developing countries, policy re-distributive effectiveness is often tested with tax evasion, corruption, and low institutional capacity.

Policy Recommendations/Implications

Increase Progressivity

Tax Reform: A more progressive income tax system will ensure that the rich pay their fair share, where governments must increase the rate for high-income earners, reduce exemptions and deductions, and institute wealth taxes. Wider tax bases in terms of forms of income and wealth captured in the tax net are very critical to increasing redistributive revenues. This involves addressing issues of tax evasion and avoidance.

Strengthen Universal Basic Incomes as Social Safety Nets In practice;

This will be a UBI; through this action, it will guarantee an income floor and significantly reduce poverty and inequality. Several countries have piloted such programs, showing positive impacts about economic security. The current policy could enhance long-term economic opportunities for disadvantaged groups by expanding conditional cash transfer programs, linking benefits to education and health outcomes. [5]

Public service investment

Education: Given boosting social mobility and reducing long-term inequality, there is also a call for investment in education for the lower strata. This includes ensuring equal access to primary, secondary, and higher education.

Healthcare: Public spending in healthcare will further increase access, reduce health disparities, and improve economic productivity.

Promoting Inclusive Growth

Creating Jobs: Fiscal policy that supports the creation of jobs, particularly in those sectors and regions where economic activity has been less pronounced, will help reduce income inequality. It may mean investment in infrastructure and SMEs.

Regional Development: Focused investment in lagging regions may balance regional disparities and promote economic development.

CONCLUSION

Fiscal policy is at the centre in responding to income inequality. The progressive taxes and social spending by governments on public services play a role in reducing economic inequalities and inducing inclusive growth. However, policy design and implementation work within the wider political and economic environment, and how well they work depends on this framework; therefore, policymakers must trade off equity and sustainability objectives when justifying fiscal stances. Fiscal policy may thus play a part in ensuring the building of an equitable society that is more prosperous through targeting inclusive growth and increasing social safety nets.

REFERENCES

1. International Monetary Fund. Fiscal Policy and Income Inequality. Washington, DC: IMF; 2014.
2. Malla MH, Pathranarakul P. Fiscal Policy and Income Inequality: The Critical Role of Institutional Capacity. J Public Adm Policy Res. 2014;6(2):27-35.
3. Lustig N. Fiscal Policy, Income Redistribution, and Poverty Reduction in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. In: Cornia GA, Martorano B, editors. Building Effective Social Contracts in Low-Income Countries. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014. p. 89-122.
4. Clements B, de Mooij R, Francese M, Gupta S, Keen M. Fiscal policy and income inequality: An overview. Inequality and Fiscal Policy. 2015 Sep 21:1.
5. Maity S. A Study on Enhance Access and Service Excellence Reform to improve Public Sector Banks in India. International Journal of Contemporary Research in Multidisciplinary. 2023 Sep 29;2(6):64-75.